UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,383	06/30/2003	Anita Hogans Simpson	030150 (BLL-0099)	1519
36192 7590 11/14/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			EXAMINER	
			FIGUEROA, MARISOL	
BLOOMFIELI	), CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/611,383	SIMPSON, ANITA HOGANS		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	-	Marisol Figueroa	2617		
-	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	<del>-</del>			
Period for			•		
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to the torough within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMU CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No y statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 August 2007.			
2a)□ <sup>-</sup>	a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
(	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4) 🖂 (	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi				
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ol	ojected to by the Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•			
11) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attact	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)∏ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.	•		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	n Application No		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage		
	application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies r	ot received.		
Attachment(	(s)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 15-18, 21, 22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PETERS et al. (US 2003/0003926 A1) in view of McBRIDE et al. (US 2002/0114431 A1).

With respect to claims 1, 21, 22, and 26, Peters discloses a method (wireless telephone, system, and computer apparatus) for providing selected status announcements from a wireless telephone user to a caller, said method comprising:

receiving an incoming telephone call from a caller; responsive to a determination that an automatic answering mode applies to the incoming call: receiving a pre-selected announcement action corresponding to said incoming telephone call; and performing said pre-selected announcement action wherein if said pre-selected announcement action includes a hold announcement then answering said incoming telephone call by providing the caller with the hold announcement (Abstract; paragraphs [007], [0031]-[0033]; the wireless telephone receives an incoming call and then determines whether the user has placed the phone in automatic call

answering mode, then the phone answers the incoming call by providing the calling party with a message indicating that the user will take the call momentarily and instructing the calling party not to hang-up (i.e., hold announcement));

responsive to a determination that a manual answering mode applies to the incoming call: receiving a user-selected announcement action selected by said user from a list of announcement actions, said user-selected announcement action selected in response to receiving said incoming telephone call; and performing said user-selected announcement action including: if said user-selected announcement action includes said hold announcement then answering said incoming telephone call by providing the caller with the hold announcement; and if said user-selected announcement action includes a call-back announcement, then providing the caller with the call-back announcement and disconnecting the telephone call (paragraph [0041]);

and responsive to a particular caller identification associated with a particular caller, sending the incoming call to a voice mail system (paragraph [0034] lines 9-10; if the caller ID reveals a non-urgent call, the user can allow a voice mail system to answer the call).

But, Peters does not particularly disclose wherein the incoming call is sent to a voice mail system without alerting the user of the incoming call.

However, McBride teaches sending an incoming call to a voice maul system without alerting the user of the incoming call (Abstract; paragraphs [0019]-[0022]; unwanted calls based on their caller ID are send to a Voice Mail system without causing the phone to ring). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify Peters to include the features of sending an incoming call to a voice mail

Art Unit: 2617

system without alerting the user of the incoming call, as suggested by McBride, since such a modification would prevent disturbing a user when receiving unwanted calls.

With respect to claim 2, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said answering said incoming telephone call by providing the caller with the hold announcement further includes placing the wireless telephone in mute mode until the user has taken the incoming telephone call (Fig. 5 – step 510; Abstract, lines 11-end; paragraph [0007]; the phone places itself into mute mode).

With respect to claim 3, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses further comprising notifying said user of said incoming telephone call (Fig. 4-step 404; paragraph [0040] lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 4, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 3, in addition Peters discloses wherein said notifying includes one or more of an audible noise, a vibration, and a light (paragraph [0040] lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 7, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein input to said determination that an automatic answering mode applies to the incoming call includes a Caller ID associated with said caller (paragraph [0032]-[0034]; the user may select an automatic answer mode based on the identity of the caller).

With respect to claim 8, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein input to said determination that an automatic mode applies to the incoming call includes a time of day associated with said telephone call (paragraph [0032]-[0033]; the phone may select a prerecorded message based on the time of the day).

Art Unit: 2617

With respect to claim 9, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein input to said determination that an automatic answering mode applies to the incoming call includes an instruction from said user (paragraph [0034]).

With respect to claim 10, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein input to said determination that a manual mode applies to the incoming call includes one or more of a Caller ID associated with said caller, a time of day associated with said telephone call and an instruction from said user (paragraph [0041]).

With respect to claim 11, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said pre-selected announcement action includes a hold announcement (paragraph [0007]; in automatic answering mode, the phone answers the incoming call by providing the calling party with a message indicating that the user will take the call momentarily and instructing the calling party not to hang-up (i.e., hold announcement)).

With respect to claim 15, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said pre-selected announcement action is created by said user (paragraph [0033]).

With respect to claim 16, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said hold announcement includes an indication that said user will take said call momentarily (paragraph [0007]; in automatic answering mode, the phone answers the incoming call by providing the calling party with a message indicating that the user will take the call momentarily).

With respect to claim 17, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said hold announcement is pre-selected from a plurality of said hold announcements (paragraph [0033]; several messages are available on the phone and a particular message is selected).

With respect to claim 18, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, in addition Peters discloses wherein said call-back announcement is pre-selected from a plurality of said call-back announcements (paragraph [0033]; several messages are available on the phone and a particular message is selected).

With respect to claim 24, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the system of claim 22, in addition Peters discloses wherein said network id a public switched telephone network (paragraph [0014]).

With respect to claim 25, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the system of claim 22, in addition Peters discloses wherein said network is an internet protocol network (paragraph [0014]).

4. Claims 5, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PETERS et al. in views of McBRIDE et al. and RUTLEDGE et al. (US 2002/0142756 A1).

With respect to claims 5-6, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, but the combination does not particularly disclose further comprising reminding said user that said caller is on hold in response to said user providing the caller with the hold announcement, and wherein said reminding said user that said caller is on hold includes one or more of an audible noise, a vibration, and a light.

Art Unit: 2617

However, Rutledge teaches a telephone answering system that allows the user of a phone to provide a caller with a hold announcement and reminding said user that said caller is on hold, wherein said reminding said user that said caller is on hold includes one or more of an audible noise, a vibration, and a light (paragraphs [0019]-[0020] and [0025]; the user of the phone presses a button that sends a message to the caller instructing the caller to hold the line and the call will be picked up shortly, and the phone provides a light or other alert means to remind the recipient of the holding call). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include further comprising reminding said user that said caller is on hold in response to said user providing the caller with the hold announcement, and wherein said reminding said user that said caller is on hold includes one or more of an audible noise, a vibration, and a light, as suggested by Rutledge, to prevent the recipient from inadvertently forget about the caller in hold.

With respect to claim 12, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, but the combination does not particularly disclose wherein said pre-selected action includes a call-back announcement.

However, Rutledge teaches a telephone answering system that includes call-back announcements (Abstract; paragraphs [0024]-[0025]; the system allows a recipient of a phone call to select a desired greeting informing the caller that the call cannot be taken at this time and providing an indication of when to expect a return call, such as: "I'm sorry, I can't pick up the phone right now, but please leave your number and I'll call back in ((n-10x10) minutes"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include call back

announcements, as suggested by Rutledge, in order to inform the caller that the call cannot be taken at the time but to expect a call from the recipient at a later time.

5. Claims 13, 14, 19, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PETERS et al. in views of McBRIDE et al. and BREMER (US 6,018,671).

With respect to claims 13-14, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, but the combination does not particularly disclose wherein said pre-selected announcement action includes a voice mail announcement and wherein said performing said pre-selected announcement action includes providing said caller with a recorded announcement and directing said call to said voice mail system.

However, Bremer teaches a wireless telephone that plays pre-recorded reply messages/announcements to a calling party including a voice mail announcement and announcement directing said call to said voice mail system (Abstract; col. 3, line 60-col. 4, lines 1-4; the recipient of the incoming call can reply with pre-recorded reply messages telling the caller that the called party can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message and/or a message giving the caller the option to forward the call to a network voice mail system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include a voice mail announcement and a recorded announcement directing said call to a voice mail system, as suggested by Bremer, to inform the caller that the called party is unavailable or can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message.

With respect to claims 19-20, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method of claim 1, but the combination does not particularly disclose wherein said performing

Art Unit: 2617

said user-selected announcement action further includes if said user-selected announcement action includes a voice mail announcement, then directing said call to said voice mail system including specifying said voice mail announcement; and wherein said performing said user-selected announcement action further includes if said user-selected announcement action includes a voice mail announcement, then providing said caller with a recorded announcement and directing said call to said voice mail system.

However, Bremer teaches a wireless telephone that plays pre-recorded reply messages/announcements to a calling party including a voice mail announcement and announcement directing said call to said voice mail system (Abstract; col. 3, line 60-col. 4, lines 1-4; the recipient of the incoming call can reply with pre-recorded reply messages telling the caller that the called party can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message and/or a message giving the caller the option to forward the call to a network voice mail system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include a voice mail announcement and a recorded announcement directing said call to a voice mail system, as suggested by Bremer, to inform the caller that the called party is unavailable or can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message.

With respect to claim 23, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the system of claim 22, but the combination does not particularly disclose wherein said performing said preselected announcement action further includes if said user-selected announcement action includes a voice mail announcement, then directing said call to said voice mail system.

Art Unit: 2617

However, Bremer teaches a wireless telephone that plays pre-recorded reply messages/announcements to a calling party including a voice mail announcement and directing said call to said voice mail system (Abstract; col. 3, line 60-col. 4, lines 1-4; the recipient of the incoming call can reply with pre-recorded reply messages telling the caller that the called party can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message and/or a message giving the caller the option to forward the call to a network voice mail system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include wherein said performing said pre-selected announcement action further includes if said user-selected announcement action includes a voice mail announcement, then directing said call to said voice mail system, as suggested by Bremer, to inform the caller that the called party is unavailable or can not answer soon, but the caller can leave a message.

6. Claims 13, 14, 19, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PETERS et al. in views of McBRIDE et al. and BROWN et al. (US 7,010,288 B2).

With respect to claims 27-30, the combination of Peters and McBride disclose the method (wireless telephone, system, and computer apparatus) of claims 1, 21, 22, and 26, but the combination does not particularly disclose wherein the automatic answering mode includes a list of tailored announcements that cover user specific situations and each of the announcements is named.

However, Brown teaches an automatic answering system that includes a list of tailored that cover user specific situations and each of the announcements is named (Fig. 7; col. 7, line 25 – col. 8, lines 1-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2617

the art at the time of the invention, to modify the combination of Peters and McBride to include a list of tailored announcements that cover user specific situations, as suggested by Brown, since such a modification would allow the selection of an answering message depending on the activity of the user, thus, providing a more flexible solution than voice mail systems.

## Prior Art of Record

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (a) RODERIQUE (US 6,941,131 B2) Incoming call handling method for mobile communications device incorporating mobile assisted messaging on demand.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marisol Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thru Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 2617

Page 12

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marisol Figueroa

Art Unit 2617

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER